

REMARKS

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the courtesies extending during the June 17, 2010 interview. During the interview the new claims and the prior art were discussed.

Claims 33-36 and 49-54 are canceled. Claims 68-79 are newly added. Claims 68-79 remain pending in this application.

Previously claims in this application were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,373,537, claims 1-34 of U.S. Patent No. 6,020,942, and claims 1-52 of U.S. Patent No. 6,002,457. Included with this response is a terminal disclaimer regarding these patents.

During the interview, claims 68 and 76 were discussed in light of previously cited JP 07-099394 to Masanori ("Masanori"). It was pointed out that Masanori does not teach, as recited in claim 68, "a first frame having a first side surface with a first plurality of holes; a second frame having a second side surface with a second plurality of holes, the first frame being coupled to the second frame using the first and second side surfaces; . . . an outer casing having a third side surface with a third hole; and a fastening device fastening the second surface of the second frame to third side surface of the outer casing through at least one of the second plurality of holes and the third hole." Specifically, Masanori at best teaches a frame and a casing, but not all of the claimed features and their relationship to one another as claimed in claim 68. Claim 76 is also allowable over Masanori for similar reasons to claim 68.

In the Reexamination Proceedings for U.S. Patent No. 5,926,237, U.S. Patent No. 6,373,537, U.S. Patent No. 6,020,942, and U.S. Patent No. 6,002,457 the Examiner has cited U.S. Patent No. 5,375,005 to Komano ("Komano") and the Applicant's Prior Art ("APA") in various rejections. Komano at best discloses two frames with a liquid crystal panel in between, but Komano does not show any holes on the side surfaces of the frames nor does Komano disclose an outer casing.

The APA describes how prior art liquid crystal displays used screws to "front mount" the liquid crystal display. Specifically, the APA does not teach "a first frame having a **first side surface with a first plurality of holes**; a second frame having a **second side surface with a second plurality of holes**, the first frame being **coupled** to the second frame **using the first and second side surfaces**; . . . an outer casing having a **third side surface with a third hole**; and a **fastening device fastening the second surface of the second frame to third side surface of**

the outer casing through at least one of the second plurality of holes and the third hole” as recited in claim 68. Further, the APA has similar deficiencies with regard to claim 76

Applicants believe the foregoing amendments place the application in condition for allowance and early, favorable action is respectfully solicited.

If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance. All correspondence should continue to be sent to the below-listed address.

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: **October 12, 2010**

By: /Song K. Jung/
Song K. Jung
Registration No. **35,210**
McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 496-7500
Attorneys for Applicant